

COURT-I

**IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY
(Appellate Jurisdiction)**

**APPEAL NO. 337 OF 2016 & IA NO. 730 OF 2016 & IA
NO. 58 OF 2017 & IA NO. 711, 951 OF 2017**
**APPEAL NO. 342 OF 2016 & IA NOS. 750, 751 OF 2016 &
IA NO. 940 OF 2017**
APPEAL NO. 33 OF 2017 & IA NO. 62, 64 & 589 OF 2017
APPEAL NO. 28 OF 2017 & IA NOS. 28 & 30 OF 2017
APPEAL NO.29 OF 2017 & IA NOS. 42 & 44 OF 2017
APPEAL NO.30 OF 2017 & IA NOS. 755, & 756 OF 2016
APPEAL NO.57 OF 2017 & IA NO. 102 OF 2017
APPEAL NO. 77 OF 2017 & IA NOS. 207 & 209 OF 2017
**APPEAL NO. 221 OF 2017 & IA NOS. 456, 505 & 457 OF
2017**

Dated: 8th November, 2017

**Present: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Ranjana P. Desai, Chairperson
Hon'ble Mr. I.J. Kapoor, Technical Member**

**APPEAL NO. 337 OF 2016 & IA NO. 730 OF 2016 & IA NO. 58 OF
2017 & IA NO. 711, 951 OF 2017**

In the matter of:

Bharti Airtel Ltd.

...Appellant(s)

Vs.

Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission & Anr.

...Respondent(s)

Counsel for the Appellant(s) : Ms. Swapna Seshadri
Mr. Ashwin Ramanathan

Counsel for the Respondent(s) : Mr. Buddy A. Ranganadhan for R.1

Mr. G. Saikumar
Mr. Varun Aggarwal
Ms. Somya Sai Kumar
Ms. Nikita Choukse for R-2

APPEAL NO. 342 OF 2016 & IA NOS. 750 & 751 OF 2016 & IA NO. 940 OF 2017

In the matter of:

Tata Teleservices (Maharashtra) Ltd. ...Appellant(s)
Vs.
Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission & Anr. ...Respondent(s)

Counsel for the Appellant(s) : Mr. Ramji Srinivasan, Sr. Adv.
 In IA No. 940 of 2017
 Mr. Gaurav Mitra
 Mr. Biju Raman
 Mr. Shailesh K. Kapoor
 Mr. Tushar Bhardwaj
 Ms. Suruchi Thapar
 Mr. Sohail Yadav

Counsel for the Respondent(s) : Mr. Buddy A.Ranganadhan for R.1

 Mr. G. Saikumar
 Mr. Varun Aggarwal
 Ms. Somya Sai Kumar
 Ms. Nikita Chaukse for R-2

APPEAL NO. 33 OF 2017 & IA NOS. 62, 64 & 589 OF 2017

In the matter of:

Vodafone Mobile Services Ltd. ...Appellant(s)
Vs.
Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission & Anr. ...Respondent(s)

Counsel for the Appellant(s) : Mr. Shamik Bhatt
 Mr. Raghav Pandey

Counsel for the Respondent(s) : Mr. Buddy A.Ranganadhan for R.1

 Mr. G. Saikumar

Mr. Varun Aggarwal
Ms. Somya Sai Kumar
Ms. Nikita Chaukse for R-2

Mr. Anshul Syal (for proposed R-3)

APPEAL NO. 28 OF 2017 & IA NOS. 28 & 30 OF 2017

In the matter of:

Idea Cellular Ltd. ...Appellant(s)
Vs.
Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission & Ors. ...Respondent(s)

Counsel for the Appellant(s) : Mr. Sudhir Makkar, Sr. Adv
Mr. Raghav Pandey
Mr. Samir Bhatt
Ms. Saomya Gupta

Counsel for the Respondent(s) : Mr. Buddy A.Ranganadhan for R.1

Mr. G. Saikumar
Mr. Varun Aggarwal
Ms. Somya Sai Kumar
Ms. Nikita Chaukse for R-2

APPEAL NO.29 OF 2017 & IA NOS. 42 & 44 OF 2017

In the matter of:

Vodafone India Ltd. ...Appellant(s)
Vs.
Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission & Anr. ...Respondent(s)

Counsel for the Appellant(s) : Mr. Shamik Bhatt
Mr. Raghav Pandey

Counsel for the Respondent(s) : Mr. Buddy A.Ranganadhan for R.1

APPEAL NO. 77 OF 2017 & IA NOS. 207 & 209 OF 2017

In the matter of:

ATC Telecom Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. & Ors.

(ATC TIPL)

...Appellant(s)

Vs.

Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission & Anr.

...Respondent(s)

Counsel for the Appellant(s) : Mr. Shailesh Kapoor
Ms. Suruchi Thapar

Counsel for the Respondent(s) : Mr. Buddy A.Ranganadhan for R.1

Mr. G. Saikumar

Mr. Varun Aggarwal

Ms. Somya Sai Kumar

Ms. Nikita Chaukse for R-2

APPEAL NO. 221 OF 2017 & IA NOs. 456, 505 & 457 OF 2017

In the matter of:

Aircel Limited

...Appellant(s)

Vs.

Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission & Anr.

...Respondent(s)

Counsel for the Appellant(s) : Mr. Raghav Pandey

Counsel for the Respondent(s) : Mr. Buddy A.Ranganadhan for R.1

Mr. G. Saikumar

Mr. Varun Aggarwal

Ms. Somya Sai Kumar

Ms. Nikita Chaukse for R-2

ORDER

I.A. No. 940 of 2017 in Appeal No. 342 of 2016 (Appln. for impleadment) is filed by Reliance Jio Infocomm Ltd., praying for its impleadment as party respondent in the appeal.

We have heard learned counsel for the parties. In the circumstances of the case, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or on the contentions raised by the parties, the application is allowed. We direct learned counsel for the appellant to file amended memo of parties and carry out necessary consequential amendments in the appeal.

List all these appeals for directions on **15.11.2017**. Interim order to continue till then.

(I. J. Kapoor)
Technical Member

(Justice Ranjana P. Desai)
Chairperson

ts/vg